

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/867,543

**REMARKS**

The Examiner still has not indicated whether the drawings filed on May 31, 2001 have been accepted. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner acknowledge such an acceptance.

The Examiner has not returned an initialed copy of Form PTO-1449 filed with Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement of May 31, 2001. As explained in the Remarks of the Amendment of June 2, 2003, a sufficient statement of relevancy of the listed references has been provided. Specifically, in Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement of May 31, 2001, Applicants have included an explanation of the contents of these references, and an explanation of how the claims of the present invention differ from the prior art cited. For the Examiner's convenience, Applicants herewith again attach Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement of May 31, 2001 and Form PTO-1449.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited references and return an initialed copy of Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-48 are all the claims pending in the application.

Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16-32, 34-44 and 46-48 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly containing subject matter not described in the specification.

Specifically, the phrase "a liquid crystal cell substrate" has been criticized as not having support.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for the following reasons.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/867,543

The specification of the present application describes that the present invention is directed to liquid crystal displays employing the presently claimed resin sheets containing dispersed particles (page 1, 1<sup>st</sup> full paragraph; page 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). The specification further describes the problems involved in the conventional liquid crystal cells substrate made of, for example, epoxy resin (page 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph).

Still further, as described on page 62, lines 16-19 of the specification, “the resin sheets containing dispersed particles produced in Examples 1 to 26 and Comparative Examples 1 and 2 were used as liquid crystal cell substrates to fabricate liquid crystal displays.”


In view of the above, Applicants submit that the specification clearly describes that the resin sheets according to the present invention can be used as liquid crystal cell substrates. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/867,543

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Fang Liu  
Registration No. 51,283

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 24, 2003